

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/763,199	04/25/2001	Winfried Maier	225/49630	3649	
23911	7590 10/07/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300			LE, DAVID D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20044-4300		3681		
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/200	DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	09/763,199	MAIER, WINFRIED				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAU INO DATE of this accommission	David D. Le	3681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 J	<u>uly 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11,	100 O.G. 210.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-48</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-48</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office		<del></del>				

Application/Control Number: 09/763,199

Art Unit: 3681

# **DETAILED ACTION**

This is the third Office action on the merits of Application No. 09/763,199, filed on 25 April 2001. Claims 12-48 are pending.

#### **Documents**

- 1. The following documents have been received and filed as part of the patent application:
  - Declaration and Power of Attorney, received on 04/25/01
  - Information Disclosure Statement, received on 04/25/01
  - Priority Document, received on 04/25/01
  - Preliminary Amendment, received on 04/25/01
  - First Amendment, received on 01/21/03
  - Second Amendment, received on 07/22/03

# Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 34 is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - Claim 34, line 9, the limitation "a non-metallic G-connecting layer" should be --a non-metallic ε -connecting layer--.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/763,199 Page 3

Art Unit: 3681

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 12-14, 18-23, 30-36, and 40-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent No. 5,560,461 to Loeffler in view of U. S. Patent No. 4,531,984 to Madsac et al.

#### Claims 12-14, 18-23, 30-36, and 40-48:

Loeffler (i.e., Figs. 1-2, column 3, line 46 – column 5, line 59) discloses a multiple cone synchronizer for use in facilitating gear shifting in vehicle transmission comprising:

- An outer synchronizer ring (60);
- An center synchronizer ring (70),
- An inner synchronizer ring (80); and
- A plurality of friction surfaces (77, 78, 86);
- Wherein each of the synchronizer rings (60, 70, 80) has conical surfaces and they are connected at least indirectly to one another;

Application/Control Number: 09/763,199 Page 4

Art Unit: 3681

#### Loeffler lacks:

 At least one of the synchronizer rings (60, 70, 80) including a metallic basic material;

- Wherein at least one of the synchronizer rings (60, 70, 80) includes the
  metallic basic material which is nitride-hardened in such a way that, by
  process parameters being set during nitride-hardening, one of a non-metallic
  γ'-connecting layer and a non-metallic ε-connecting layer is formed on a
  conical surface of at least one of the synchronizer rings (60, 70, 80);
- Wherein the γ'-connecting layer is formed which includes Fe<sub>4</sub>N;
- Wherein the ε-connecting layer is formed which includes Fe<sub>2</sub>N or Fe<sub>3</sub>N;
- Wherein a nitriding depth is 200 to 800 μm;
- Wherein the γ'-connecting layer and the ε-connecting layer are 1 to 20 μm thick;
- Wherein the  $\gamma$ '-connecting layer and the  $\epsilon$ -connecting layer are 10  $\mu$ m;
- Wherein the non-metallic ε-connecting layer of Fe<sub>2</sub>N or Fe<sub>3</sub>N is formed on said friction surfaces;
- Wherein the non-metallic  $\gamma$ '-connecting layer of Fe<sub>4</sub>N is formed on said friction surfaces;
- Wherein the metallic basic material of at least one of the synchronizer rings is a hardenable steel;

Art Unit: 3681

*Madsac* (i.e., column 1, line 10 – column 4, line 40) discloses a surface hardening process for metal parts by nitriding comprising:

- An ε-connecting layer of Fe<sub>2</sub>N or Fe<sub>3</sub>N (i.e., column 2, lines 60-68);
- An γ'-connecting layer of Fe<sub>4</sub>N (i.e., column 2, lines 60-68);
- Nitriding layer thickness ranging from 250 μm to 500 μm (i.e., column 4, lines 2-40);
- Wherein the ε-connecting layer and γ'-connecting layer thickness are ranging from 10 μm to 35 μm (i.e., column 4, lines 2-40);
- Wherein the metal part is made of hardenable steel (i.e., column 4, lines 1-6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Loeffler friction surfaces to include the  $\epsilon$  and the  $\gamma$ -connecting layers such that the nitriding layer thickness would range from 250  $\mu$ m to 500  $\mu$ m and the  $\epsilon$ -connecting layer and  $\gamma$ -connecting layer thickness would range from 10  $\mu$ m to 35  $\mu$ m and Loeffler synchronizer rings to be made out of hardenable steel, in view of Madsac, in order to improve the overall fatigue and seizure characteristics of the synchronizer rings as well as to specifically increase the resistance to wear and corrosion of the friction surfaces of the synchronizer rings.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,199 Page 6

Art Unit: 3681

5. Claims 15-17, 24-29, and 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loeffler in view of Madsac et al. as applied to claims 12-14, 19-23, 32-36, and 41-48 above, and further in view of U. S. Patent No. 6,105,374 to Kamody and U. S. Patent No. 4,618,049 to Pflaum et al.

Claims 15-17, 24-29, and 37-39:

Loeffler in view of Madsac discloses all elements and limitations as set forth in claims 19-23, 32-36, and 41-48. Regarding claims 15-18, 24-31, and 37-40, Loeffler lacks:

- Wherein at least one of the synchronizer rings is plasma-nitride-hardened;
- Wherein the metallic basic material of at least one of the synchronizer rings is a sintered material;
- Wherein the metallic basic material of at least one of the synchronizer rings is a sinter-forged material;

**Pflaum** (i.e., column 4, lines 5-36) discloses a synchronizer ring being made out of materials including sintered steel and sinter-forged material.

Kamody (i.e., column 1, line 60 – column 2, line 29) discloses a process of nitriding metal-containing materials comprising:

- Steel nitriding process;
- Hardenable steel nitriding process; and
- Plasma nitriding process;

Application/Control Number: 09/763,199

Art Unit: 3681

Page 7

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify Loeffler synchronizer rings such that the synchronizer rings would be made out of either hardenable steel or plasma or sintered steel or sinter-forged steel, as appropriate, in view of Pflaum and Kamody in order to further improve the overall fatigue and seizure characteristics of the synchronizer rings as well as to specifically increase the resistance to wear and corrosion of the friction surfaces of the synchronizer rings.

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 22 July 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

# Claims 12-14, 18-23, 30-36, and 40-48:

Applicant argues the motivations to modify the teaching of Loeffler in view of the teaching of Madsac, in order to improve the overall fatigue and seizure characteristics of the synchronizer rings and to increase the resistance to wear and corrosion, do not exist.

Examiner respectfully disagrees because the above motivations are taught in Madsac (i.e., column 1, lines 11-15).

Accordingly, the rejections, as set forth above, are still deemed proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,199

Art Unit: 3681

Page 8

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David D. Le whose telephone number is 703-305-3690. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (0700-1530).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles A Marmor can be reached on 703-308-0830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

ddl

RODNEY H. BONCK
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3681